Gott. II-29—27,604—25-11-25.

Revenue (1926) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 915

DATED 3 5 26

[Despatch Abstract.]

Floods (1925) - South Kanara district - Damage to crops - Remission of assessment - Sanctioned.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.** C.F. 31

CURRENT No. 39498

Received ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVT. 21 DEC 1925 REVENUE DEPARTMENT 192 *Registered* 24.12 1925.

Subject.

Floods- 1925- South Kanara district- Damage to crops- Remission of assessment.

ENCLOSURES.
No.....
.....
Spare copies.....

Refce. No. H 3705-25-4

Station Madras, *Dated the* 19th December '25 ~~192~~

From W.Scott Brown Esq.,I.C.S.,
Secretary,
~~The~~ Board of Revenue Land Revenue and ~~Revenue-Settlement, etc.~~ ~~Separate-Revenue.~~

~~Resolution~~ Reference. N.Macmichael Esq.,I.C.S.,
Commr. of Land Revenue and Settlement.

Read the following papers

I

Again G.O. No.1316 Revenue dated the 21st August 1925.

II

Letter from G.W.Wells Esq.,M.A.,I.C.S., Collector of South anara, R.O.C.No. 5916-25-A6 dated the 4th December 1925:-

In continuation of my report R.O.C. No. 5719-25-A7 dated 23rd July 1925, I submit herewith two statements A & B showing the extent and assessment of single crop and double crop wet lands affected by the floods of this year- statement

deals with single crop wet lands on which the crop completely failed owing to the floods or owing to the deposit of sand or silt and the statement B deals with double crop lands on which the 1st crop was completely destroyed and a second crop cannot be raised either on account of the deposit of sand or silt or on account of other causes such as erosion etc.

The total extent damaged and the assessment involved for purposes of remission is given below:-

Statement A	Single crop lands.		
Extent.	Assessment.		
Acres.	Rs.	as	ps.
450.88	2095	4	0

Statement B	Double crop lands.		
Extent.	Assessment.		
Acres.	Rs.	as.	ps.
42.68	320	0	0

may be given effect to at the ensuing jamabandi.

Reference.-

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board supports the Collector's proposals.

3. The Collector's proposals in regard to double crop lands on which the first wet crop was destroyed by the floods and a second wet crop has been raised are awaited.

19/12

Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with 2 statements to be returned.

To the Collector of South Kanara.

M.D. 19-12

19. 12. 25

Remission statement A

1925

South Dakota Division

89-1C	Subraya Naik and anothr	0.2	6			2					0.2			0.2			2	✓	✓
89-2B	Venkatesh Kamthi	36	5		1	13					36			36		1	13	✓	✓
89-2C	—— Do ——	85	6		5	2					85			85		5	2	✓	✓
89-2A3	—— Do ——	24	5		1	3					24			24		1	3	✓	✓
21-5	Munda Shetty	33	5		1	10					33			33		1	10	✓	✓
21-6	Mundiakke	29	5		1	7					29			29		1	7	✓	✓
21-7	Manjakke	40	5		2						40			40		2		✓	✓
91-1A2	Munda Shetty	15	5			12					15			15			12	✓	✓
91-1A3	Mundiakke	12	5			10					12			12			10	✓	✓
91-1A4	Manjakke	15	5			12					15			15			12	✓	✓
20-1	Shimmappa Kanstha	4	13	5		20	10			3			3				15	✓	⊗
91-1A5	—— Do ——	25	5		1	4			25					25		1	4	✓	✓
91-1B	Mundiakke	12	5			10					12			12			10	✓	✓
91-1C	Munda Shetty	15	5			12					15			15			12	✓	✓
91-2	Manjakke	06	5			5					06			06			5	✓	✓
91-3	Shimmappa Kanstha	30	5		1	8					30			30		1	8	✓	✓
19-12	Parameshwari Heggadthi	16	5			13					16			16			13	✓	✓
19-10	Hussain Beary	19	5			15					19			19			15	✓	✓
19-11	—— Do ——	30	5		1	8					30			30		1	8	✓	✓

Statement A.

Statement Showing the extent of damage Caused to Single crop lands by the floods of 1925.

Village	Particulars of the fields affected by the floods.						Total extent damaged Cols 7+8	Assessment on the extent in Col 9	Remarks.
	Survey and Sub Division number	Name of pattadar	Extent of assessment.	Rate of assessment.	Amount of assessment.	Extention on which this years crop was destroyed by floods but not covered with Sand or silt.	Extent on which the wet crop was destroyed by floods owing to the deposit of Sand or Silt.			

| | | |

Ambelamoga	12:8	Achanna Shetty	1	41	5		7	1		1	41				1	41		7	1						
	7-3	Kaveri alias Mundiappu	1	21	5		6	1					1	21		1	21	6	1						
	7-1	Bernad Souza		50	5		2	8						50			50	2	8						
	69-1	Thimmappa Bhandary	2	42	6		14	8		1	50			50		2		12							
	42-2	— Do —	3	31	6		19	14		3						3		18							
	6:3	Ramakke —	4	84	5		24	3			84		2			2	84	14	3						
	3-5	Rama kini	6	20	6		37	3			50		3			3	50	21							
	73-1	— Do —	2	14	6		12	13					1	90		1	90	11	6						
	74-1	— Do —	1	88	6		11	5					1	10		1	10	6	10						
	39-3	— Do —	1	18	6		7	1					1			1		6							
	13-1	Subbakke —		22	5		1	2			22						22	1	2						
	13-20	— Do —		15	5			12			15						15		12						
	13-2	Manjakke —		54	5		2	11			54						54	2	11						
			27	42						9	58		11	21		20	79	116	3						
Boliyar	35-6	Beepathuma —	2	45	5		12	4		1	50					1	50	7	8						
	55-2	Abdulkadir Beary	2	50	3		7	8		2						2		6							
			4	95						3	50					3	50	13	8						
Tiruvail	3-4	Manjakke & 2 others	4	66	6		27	15		1	50					1	50	9							
	4-1	Appu & 2 others	2	24	6		13	7		1	24					1	24	7	7						
	4-8	Kocha Kava		38	6		2	5			26			12			38	2	5						
	4-28	Kinnaya Pokkalar		11	6			11			11						11		11						

| | | |

16-4	Abbu Beary & 2 others	1	23	5
8-48	Duggamma Shedthi & 2 others		33	3
7-2	Chikkaya Naika	6	68	4
8-46	Duggamma Shedthi and others mulgeni chikkaya naika	2	83	5
8-7	Duggamma Shedthi	6	19	5
		17	26	
28-2	Ananthaya Shetty	5	88	6
3-27	Valli Hassainabba Beary		05	3
12-8	Kinhannaba	3	80	5
15-13	Kocha Rai		21	6
15-7	Duma Banga		14	3
15-21	Do		11	5
15-17	Kinhanaba		13	5
15-15	Do	4	40	5
15-20	Duma Banga	2	10	5
15-27	Kinhanaba	7	56	2
k-72-9	Narayana Shetty		45	5

| | | |

33-30	Shesu Chowta		33	4	1	5
33-41	—— Do ——		08	4		5
33-32	Rukum & another	10	89	5	54	7
33-36	Jarappa Shetty		66	4	2	10
39-2	Anantha Bhatta		93	5	4	10
38-2	Duggamma		13	4		8
28-11	Durgamma for minor		68	5	3	6
28-12	Narasimha Poi Shrinivasa Prabhu	1	70	5	8	8
44-3	Devanna Kamthi	2	68	4	10	12
44-7B	~~Anantha dap~~ Anthadya		16	5		13
55-9	Narsappaya		37	4	1	8
55-28	Subraya Bhatta		36	4	1	7
82-9	Pachu		26	4	1	1
82-17	Maru Beary		63	5	3	2
91-2	A Chappu - Poovappa	3	72	4	14	14
91-3	Venkatramana Senarka.	1	32	4	5	5
46-10	Veerayya	2	20	4	8	13
51-1	Durgamma for minor	1	17	4	4	11
49-4	~~Narasimha Poi~~ Pathuma & Sumana Bary		50	4	2	
89-11	Achappu & another		25	2		8
50-4	Pathuma & another	3	35	4	13	6
50-5	Mammakke		43	4		

50-6A.	Appaya Shanbhogue ...	64	4	.	2	9					64			64	2	9	✓
50-6B	Appaya Shanbhogue mulgeni Cheyyabba Beary	62	4	.	2	8					63			63	2	8	✓
50-7	Subbaya Naika	22	4	.		14					22			22		14	✓
46-7.	Appaya Shanbhogue Mulgeni Cheyyabba Beary	191	4	.	7	10		1				1			4		✓
		51	17					20	55	10	26	30	81	130	1		
9-2	Devyakku & others	1	12	5		5	10	1		12			1	12		5	10	✓
10-5.	Koraga Pujari	1	68	6		10	1	1			10		1	10		6	10.	✓
18-1	Narna Chowta	1	30	6		7	13	1		30			1	30		7	13	✓
18-9	—— Do ——		57	6		3	1			57				57		3	1	✓
18-14	Kunhalva	2	56	6		15	6	1					1			6		✓
18-15.	Narna Chowta	5	69	6		34	6	1		80			1	80		10	13.	✓
19-4.	Somappa		41	6		2	7			41				41		2	7	✓
19-9B	Thukru (female)		22	6		1	5			22				22		1	5	✓
19-13	Parameshwaraja alias Babu Rao.		08	2			3			08				08			3	✓
19-11	Krishnaya		45	6		2	11			45				45		2	11.	✓
27-3	G.P. Lobo & others mulgeni Kauthappa	3	37	5		16	14			50	1		1	50		7	8.	✓
27-8	—— Do ——		32	4		1	5				32			32		1	5	✓
27-11	—Do- mulgeni Subbayya Shetty	2	40	5		12		1		50			1	50		7	8	✓
27-16	—Do—		65	4		2	10			65				65		2	10	✓
28-3	Parameshwaraya alias Babu Rao.		68	4		2	12			68				68		2	12	✓
28-6	— Do —		60	5		3				60				60		3		✓
31-3	Lord Bishop ——		57	4		2	5			57				57		2	5	✓

| | | |

Kandavar 71-5	Laxmi ——	2	18	6	13	1	1	25			1	25	7	8	✓	⊛
69-2	Devu Naika	3	41	6	20	7	1	50			1	50	9		✓	⊛
72-9	Halimamma —	1	02	6	6	2	1	02			1	02	6	2	✓	✓
72-10	Laxmi	.	70	6	4	3	.	70			.	70	4	3	✓	✓
72-18	—Do—	1	66	6	9	15	1	.			1	. .	6	. . .	✓	⊛
73-3	Koragalva & another	5	15	6	30	14	2	50			2	50	15	.	✓	⊛
81-913	Devu Naika		39	5	1	15	.	39			.	39	1	15	✓	✓
80-14	Pathuma		65	5	3	4	.	65			.	65	3	4	✓	✓
57-18	Subraya		11	5	-	9	-	11			.	11	.	9	✓	✓
45-2	Nagamma		96	5	4	13	.	.	.	96	.	96	4	13	✓	✓
		16	23				9	12	.	96	10	08	58	6	✓	
2-143	Poovakke	.	25	6	1	8	.	25				25	1	8	✓	✓
2-153	Tyampa Shetty	5	54	6	33	4			1	. 1		.	6	.	✓	⊛
2-159	Kunhi Shedthi	1	12	6	6	12			1	. 1		.	6	.	✓	⊛
2-146	Thyampa Shetty.	-	9	6	-	9			.	9	.	9	.	9	✓	✓
2-147	—Do—	.	38	6	.	2	5		.	38		38	2	5	✓	✓
2-145	—Do—	1	02	6	,	6	2		1	02	1	02	6	2	✓	✓
2-148	—Do—	,	04	6	,	,	4		,	04	,	04	.	4	✓	✓
2-149	—Do—	,	04	6	,	,	4		.	04	,	04	.	4		✓
2-11	—Do—	.	73	6	,	4	6		.	73	.	73	4	6		
2-150	—Do—	.	55	6	,	3	5		.	55		55	3	5		
2-151	—Do—	1	35	6	,	8	2									

2-144	—— Do ——	5	26	6	3	1	9	1	7	0	1	2	6	2	9	5	6	17	
2-5.2	Kanchi Shedthi ——	2	62	6	1	5	1	2	1					1				6	
2-50B	Chinnamma Sulaithi	1	24	5		6		3	1				0	1				5	
4-1	Kanthu Manai	2	41	6	1	4		7	1	5	0			1		5	0	9	
2-36	—— Do ——	1	44	6		8		10		4	4			1		4	4	8	10
2-75	Sankadappa		46	6		2		12		4	6					4	6	2	12
2-4	Chinniamma Sulaithi		48	6		2		14		4	8					4	8	2	14
2-12	Poovatke		47	3		1		7		4	7					4	7	1	7
			26	15						8	30	7	7	7	16	0	7	94	1
5-24	Achappu	5	46	5		2	7	5	1	2	0			1		2	0	6	
42-18	Deva Shetty & another	5	05	6		3	0	5	1					1				6	
42-17	—— Do ——	10	38	6		6	2	5	4					4				24	
42-7	—— Do	4	02	6		2	4	2	1	5	0			1		3	5	0	19
27-153	Babu alias Laxman	1	08	5		5		6	1	0	8			1		0	8	5	6
27-30	Parameshwaraya Pal	1	20	3		3		10	1					1				3	
27-17	Parameshwari		66	6		3		15		6	6					6	6	3	15
23-10	Korapalu mulgeni Thyampa	5	07	5		2	5	6	2	5	0			2		5	0	12	8
23-9	Pejavar Swami mulgeni Venkataraya		95	5		4		12		9	5					9	5	4	12

| | | |

11-8	Bagi alias A K Kamma	6	60	6		3	10		60					60		3	10		
11-3	Ramakke & others	1	63	6		9	13	1					1			6			
13-11	Bagi alias A K Kamma	2	42	5		12	2	1					1			5			
		10	65					2	60				2	60		14	10		
27-6	Chelluvaya Ballal Vaman Kini	5	47	6		32	13			1	25	1	25			7	8		
41-6	Ramachandra Naika	3	76	4		15	1	1				1				4			
37-2m	Ramakrishnaya		70	4		2	13		70					70		2			
39-2	Chelluvaya Ballal Vaman Kini	11	86	3		35	9	1					1			3			
		21	79					2	70	1	25	3		95		17	5		
34-13	Amma Samani		22	4			14				22			22			1		
											22			22					
10-18	Thungamma	4	20	5		21		1				1				5			
10-21	Do	6	48	4		25	15			1		1				4			
14-21	Do	6	49	5		47	7	1				1				5			
		17	17					2		1		3				14			
21-12	Chinnamma Sulaithi	6	90	2		13	13	1				1				2			
								1				1				2			
26-3	Stella Josephina Lobo Bai mulgoni Thungamma		39	2			13		30		09			39					

24-3	Stella Josephina Lobo w/o Mulgoni Thungamma	3	64	3	.	10	15	1	25			1	25	3	12	✓	✓	
23-4	Thungamma Mulgoni Karkku and others	14	54	4	.	58	3	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
16-17	— — do — —	4	93	3	.	14	13	. 1	.			1	.	3	.	✓	✓	
13-12	Ammu alias Punchari	.	50	5	.	2	8	.	50			.	50	2	8	✓	✓	
14-4	J. J. Fernandez ——	1	40	5	.	7	.	1	40			1	40	7	.	✓	✓	
13-8	Ammu alias Punchari	8	80	5	.	44	.	2	.			2	.	10	.	✓	✓	
13-16	—— do ——	1	56	6	.	9	6	1	56			1	56	9	6	✓	✓	
10-3	Bipathuma & others	3	33	5	.	16	10	1	25	25		1	50	7	8	✓	✓	
7-3	Cheluvaya Ballal	1	37	5	.	6	14	1	37	.	.	1	37	6	14	✓	✓	
6-9	Bipathuma	3	27	4	.	13	1	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
3-10	Vamana Naika	1	57	4	.	6	1	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
3-9	Manjunatha Naik	3	51	4	.	14	1	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
3-6	—— do —	2	80	4	.	11	3	1	50			1	50	6	.	✓	✓	
3-5	Vamana Naika	.	99	4	.	3	15	.	99	.		.	99	3	15	✓	✓	
3-8	— — do — —	1	98	4	.	7	15	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
2-6	Cheluvaya Ballal	.	89	3	.	2	11	.	89	.		.	89	2	11	✓	✓	
32-15	Mundyappu & another	1	28	4	.	5	2	1	.	.		1	.	4	.	✓	✓	
31-4	Thungamma.	6	67	5	.	33	6	.	.	1	.	1	.	5	.	✓	✓	
36-20	Laxmana Poi.	21	50	5	.	107	8	2	50			2	50	12	8	✓	✓	
37-2	Mana Mohon Roy.	3	97	6	.	23	13	1	25	.		1	25	7	8	✓	✓	
												34		11	15			

| | | |

Thokur	17-4.	Ali Beary.	35	5	1	12	35			35	1	12 ✓
	63-12	Kudkolli Appaya.	95	4	3	13	95			95	3	13 ✓
			1	30		1	30			30	5	9 ✓
Panambur	10-1A	Sujir Narasimha Naik	7	10 7	49	11	25			25	8	12 ✓
	22-20	Shama Rao & others		07 3		3	07			07	3	✓
			7	17			32			32	8	15 ✓
Kannur	27-9	Aithappa Shetty		31 6		14	31			31	1	14 ✓
	25-11	— Do —		72 6		5	72			72	4	5 ✓
	26-1	— Do —		65		4	65			65	3	4 ✓
			1	68			68			68	9	7 ✓
Hakangadi	39-7	Seraphina Bai and Kalinga Mardhana Dev.		47 7	3	5		47		47	3	5 ✓
								47		47	3	5 ✓
Kilinjar	41-2	Gangamma alias Mahammai mulgavi. Venkatramana Mallya		36 4		✓ 7		36		36	1	7 ✓
								36		36	1	7 ✓
					Total Mangalore Firm			283	15	1431	15	✓
Shambur	32-2	Laxmi Bai —		37 4		8		37		37	1	8 ✓
								37		37	1	8 ✓
Bantwal Muda.	10-4	Gopal Holla —		64 4	2	9	64			64	2	9 ✓
	10-13	— Do —		04 4		3	04			04	3	✓

17-15	Gopala Holla	2	47	5	12	6
15-38	—— Do ——	2	66	2	5	5
15-2	V. Mariappa		56	3	1	11
21-4	Ahamad	8	22	4	32	14
23-1	—— Do ——		57	4	2	5
33-6	—— Do		14	3		7
49-3	Dinkar Rao ——	1	21	3	3	10
50-2	Somanahath —— Dev		45	2		14
50-8	Thimmappaya & others		14	2		5
15	Somanath —— Dev ——		74	4	2	15
22	Thimmappaya & others		15	2		5
23	—— Do ——		25	2		8
26	Somanath Dev ——		19	3		9
38	Thimmappaya & others		45	2		14
39	—— Do ——		09	3		4
40	—— Do ——		11	3		
41	—— Do ——		65	2	1	
51-2	—— Do ——		96	2	1	1
3	—— Do ——		22	2		

61-6	Venkappa Rai-	3	43	5	17	2	1			50		15	7	8		⊕
15	—— Do ——		26	2	.	8	.	26.				26		8	✓	✓
63-9	Subba Naika, etc,		4	3	24	2	2			2			6	.	✓	⊕
145-11	Brambodi Hammade Bearey		64	4	2	9		4				64	2	9	✓	✓
13.	—— Do ——		80	5									5			⊕
15	—— Do ——		19	4		12		19				9		12		✓
150-7	Jumma Majid—	3	69	3		1	2						6			⊕
151-5	Thimmaya Bhandary	8	9	3		4	1				1		3			⊕
153-2	Appu Bhandary & others.	9	12	2		4	2				2		4			⊕
4	—— Do ——	3	92	6	23	8	.				1		6			⊕
164-2	Appanna Shetty & others	7	14	3	21	7	1				1		3			⊕
169-7	Devappa & others—	1	37	3	4	2	1				1		3			⊕
		72	47				22	94	3	50	26	44	88	10	✓	
11-2	Sitaramaya. —	2	19	5	10	15	1			50	1	50	7	8.		
18	—— Do ——	1	14	5	5	11	1	14			1	14	5	11		
19	—— Do —	2	20	5	11						1		5	.		
12	—— Do ——		38	5	1	14				38		38	1	14.		
23-2	—— Do ——		86	5	9	5		86.				86	9	5.		

											3	..	2	88	5	88	29	
24-1	Manjannu Hegde	1	06	5	.	5	5	1	06	.	.	.	.	.	1	06	5	
5	Manjappa Melanta	3	14	2	..	6	5	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	2	
6	—— Do ——	.	53	5	..	2	10	1	.	53	.	.	.	.	.	53	2	
26-7	Emy Saldanha Bai	3	61	5	.	18	1	1	50	.	.	.	.	.	1	50	7	
44-18	Kanthadapa ——	2	81	5	..	14	1	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	1	.	5	
45-6	Rama Rao ——	.	71	6	.	4	4	.	71	.	.	.	.	.	.	71	4	
11	—— Do ——	1	76	2	..	3	8	1	.	.	.	76	1	.	76	3		
								9	80	3	3	64	13	44	59			
		21	39															
72-5	Ramappa Naik	.	62	6	.	3	12	.	62	.	.	.	.	.	62	.	3	
76-6	Parameshri ——	.	42	4	.	1	11	.	42	.	.	.	.	.	42	.	1	
77-19	Manjakke ——	.	21	5	.	1	1	.	21	.	.	.	.	.	21	.	1	
79-23	Kunhanna Rai	24	29	2	..	48	9	.	.	.	3	.	3	.	.	6		
		25	54					1	25	3	.	4	25	12				
2-1B	Thimmappaya ——	1	93	4	..	7	12	.	.	.	1	.	1	.	.	4		
1D	Krishnayya ——	2	41	4	.	9	10	.	.	.	1	.	1	.	.	4		
4A	Ramappaya ——	.	34	5	.	1	11	.	.	.	.	34	.	34	.	1		
4B	Narayana Rao	.	33	5	.	1	10	.	.	.	.	33	.	33	.	1		
8-2	Ananta Pai ——	.	48	4	.	1	15	.	.	.	.	48	.	48	.			
3	—— Do ——	.	18	4	.	.	12	.	.	.	.	18	.	18	.			
		5	67								3	33	3	33	1			

Arla.	53-1	Chandra Bastha			3	72	3					1	70	50	2	20	6	10	✓
												1	70	50	2	20	6	10	✓
Panemangalore	79-5	Ahmad Beary				36	3		10	1	1						3		✓
	12:2	Ramakrishnaya		1		80	4		7	3			70		30	1		4	✓
	50-6	Vasudeva Mallya				46	3		1	6			46				46	1 6	✓
				5	62							2	16		30	2	46	8 6	✓
Munnur	5-1	Ahmad Beary		8	20	3			24	10	5					5		15	✓
	8-3	Malinga Mulya			07	4				5		07					07	5	✓
	8-4	—— Do ——		3	10	3			9	5	3	10				3	10	9 5	✓
	11-5	Venkatramana Mayya		1	52	3			4	9	1					1		3	✓
	9-5	Venkatesh Bhatta		2	10	4			8	6	2	10				2	10	8 6	✓
	20-3	Ramaraya ——		3	17	5			15	14	2					2		10	✓
	24-7	— Do —		2	08	3			6	4	2					2	08	6 4	✓
	60-4	Thomu Hengsu		2	19	4			8	12	1	50				1	50	6	✓
	61-1	Vasudeva Mallya		12	7	2			24	2	3					3		6	✓
				34	57						19	77		08	19	85	64	4	✓
Bantwal	4-4	Rajaram - guardian		1	50	4				6					25	1	25	5	✓
	9.	Shri Tirumal Dev — T. Ananthayya.			28	4.				1	2				28		28	1 2	✓
	6-1	Rajaram - gurdian		4	45	4				17	13		80		60	1	40	5 10	✓
	11-6B	Vijaya Durga Dev — T. Anantaya			83	2				1	11		83				83	1 11	✓
	45-18	Purushottama Kamath		10	11	2				20	4	2							✓

Buntwal (Contd)	45-24	Ratnakar, Ramanand guardian - Padmanabhaya	.	41	2	.	.	13	.	3	41	.	2	13	.	5	41	.	13	✓	✓
			17	58					4	04	2	13	6	47	18	4		✓	
Kariangala	2-1	Rama Vittal Dev Mulgoni :- Muthaya Shetty	1	29	5	.	6	7	1	29	.	.	1	29	6	7		✓	✓
	6	—— Do ——	6	19	3	.	18	9	3	.	.	.	3	.	9	.		✓	⊗
	3-1	Muthaya Shetty	6	34	5	.	31	11	6	34	.	.	6	34	31	11		✓	✓
	4	—— Do ——	.	96	3	.	2	14	.	96	.	.	.	96	2	14		✓	✓
	7	—— Do ——	8	81	4	.	35	4	3	.	.	.	3	.	12	.		✓	⊗
	4-1	Rama Vittal Dev. Mulgoni Muthaya shetty	1	16	5	.	5	13	1	16	.	.	1	16	5	13		✓	✓
	3	—— Do ——	4	81	5	.	24	1	4	81	.	.	4	81	24	1		✓	✓
	4	—— Do ——	1	70	5	.	8	8	1	70	.	.	1	70	8	8		✓	✓
	5	—— Do ——	.	78	6	.	4	11	.	78	.	.	.	78	4	11		✓	✓
	11	—— Do ——	4	75	3	.	14	4	2	.	.	.	2	.	6	.		✓	⊗
	14	—— Do ——	1	50	5	.	7	8	1	50	.	.	1	50	7	8		✓	✓
	5-1	Shekali Beary Saya Beary	4	18	5	.	20	14	4	18	.	.	4	18	20	14		✓	✓
	2	—— Do ——	.	36	5	.	1	13	.	36	.	.	.	36	1	13		✓	✓
	6-1	Rama Vittal Dev - Mulgoni Muthaya shetty	4	28	6	.	25	11	4	28	.	.	4	28	25	11		✓	✓
	9-4	Duggama Shedthi & Muthaya Shetty	1	93	5	.	9	10	1	93	.	.	1	93	9	10		✓	✓
	7	—— Do ——	1	81	6	.	70	14	1	81	.	.	1	81	10	14		✓	✓
	1	Duggamma Shedthi & Muthaya Shetty	2	03	6	.	12	3	2	03	.	.	2	03	12	3		✓	✓
	10-1	Duggamma Shedthi & Muthaya Shetty	.	30	5	.	1	8	.	30	.	.	.	30	1	8		✓	✓

7	—— Do ——	1	99	4	7	15	1	99	7	99	7	15	
6	—— Do ——	1	93	6	11	9	1	93	1	93	11	9	
16	—— Do ——	1	54	3	4	10	1	54	1	54	4	10	
18	—— Do ——		55	4	2	3		55		55	2		
19	—— Do ——	3	99	4	15	15	3	99	3	99	15	15	
17-2	Duggamma Sheolthi	1	48	5	7	6	1	48	1	48	7	6	
22-7	Komba Shetty		17	5		14		17		17		1	
30-3	A. Laxmi	7	82	5	39	2	1					5	
32-4	N. Subba Rao	5	76	5	28	13	1					5	
36-3	Durga Parameshwari	1	62	3	4	14						3	
41-12	Chera Ananthaya		70	3	2	2		70		70	2		
43-1	Padmanabha Bhatta		76	4	3	1			76	76	3	1	
2	—— Do ——	1	76	3	5	5			76	76	5	5	
3	Vasudeva Upadya		58	3	1	12			58	58	1	12	
4	Padmanabha Bhatta		72	3	2	3			72	72	2	3	
5	Vasudeva Upadya		75	3	2	4			75	75	2	4	
6	Padmanabha Bhatta		57	3	1	11		57		57	1	11	
47-4	Chera Anantaya	5	75	4	23			50		50	6		
48-4	N. Rama Rao		15	2		5		15		15		5	
49-4	Padmanabha Bhatta	1	54	4	6	3					4		
3	Gangamma		63	4	2	8		63		63	2	8	
7	Parameshwara Bhatta		40	3	1	3		40		40	1	3	

Kariangala (Contd)	39-3	Durga Parameshwari	2	41	4		9	10	1				1			4			✓	⊕		
	55-3	Kittappa & Kaveri	1	52	3		4	9	1	52			1	52		4	9		✓		✓	
	— 4	Korga Dumalu		30	4		1	3		30				30		1	3		✓		✓	
	57-2	Chera Anantaya	5	59	3		16	12	1	50			1	50		4	8		✓	⊕		
	59-5	Krishnaya		33	4		1	5		33				33		1	5		✓		✓	
			104	49					65	68	4	57	70	25	4	312	9	4	✓			
Amunaire	4-9	Nandappa Shetty		65	5		3	4		65				65		3	4		✓		✓	
"	12	A. Laxmi		21	5		1	1		2				21		1	1		✓		✓	
	14	Ichamma		18	5			14		18				18			14		✓		✓	21
	19	Devamma		73	5		3	10		73				73		3	10		✓		✓	
	29	Ummu Hengsu	1	23	5		6	2	1	23			1	23		6	2		✓		✓	
	8-2	Thangi Hengsu		77	5		3	14		77				77		3	14		✓		✓	
	9-6	〃 Do		43	5		2	2		43				43		2	2		✓		✓	
	7	Siddanna Shetty	1	72	5		8	10	1				1			5			✓	⊕		
	14	Ichamma		39	5		1	15		39				39		1	15		✓		✓	
	12-2	Rukumu Hengsu	1	09	5		5	7	1	09			1	09		5	7		✓		✓	
	13-2	A. Laxmi		83	5		4	2		83				83		4	2		✓		✓	
	14-6	Thangi Hengsu	1	2	5		5	2	1	02			1	02		5	2		✓		✓	
	16-1	〃 Do		65	2		1	5		65				65		1	5		✓		✓	
			9	90					9	18			9	18	4	43	14	4	✓			
Sarpadey	45-2	Minor Mangesha Rao gurdian - Sundari Bai	3	57	2		7	2	1				1			2			✓	⊕		
									1				1			2			✓			

Khdeshwalya	57-4	Narasimha Dev.	. 33 3				33	33			
	12-6	Venkatramanaya.	. 20 2		6		20	20		6	
			-53				53*	53*	1	6*	
		Total Buntwal Div.						159	47	633	8

Kasaragod Taluk.

Perambale	19-5	Venkatesha Adiga	8 5		. 14		18	18		14	
	13	Shankarnarayana	05 5		4		05	05		4	
	15	— Do Kannuraya.	07 5		6		07	07		6	
	18	— Do —	14 5		11		14	14		11	
			-44				44*	44	2	3	
Kidur	21-4.	Akkamma	3 55 5		17 12		1 75	75	8	12	
							1 75*	75*	8	12	
Puttige	1-13	Shambhaya	. 44 6		2 10		44	44	2	10	
							44*	44*	2	10	
Angadimogar	34-3	Dumavathi Daiva	. 59 4		26		. 59.	9	2.	6.	
							59*	59*	2	6*	
Badur	3 2	Badur Thimmanna Banta.	3 67 4		14 11		. 50 . 50 1	. 4			
			~~4 26~~				50* . 50* 1	. 4			
			3 67					Total 4	22	19	15

Uppinangadi Taluk.

Ilanthila	5-2	Kanchan Venkatramanaya (Mulagenidas Hassan Beary.	3.45.1	8	5	3	1 50	1	50	2	4.

| | | |

Remission statement B

1925

South Kanara District

30	6	Laxmi			7	8	10	3	1				1		7	8	
									1				1		7	8	
14	3	Julian Saldanha Bai.		44	7	8	3	5		44				44	3	5	
9	2	Annapipa Naika.	1	90	7	8	14	4	1	25			1	25	9	6	
			2	34					1	69			1	69	13	11	
25	2	Dumakke	4	30	6	4	26	14					1		6	4	
13	3A	Rama Bhatta		10	6	4		10		10				10		10	
			4	40						10			1	10	6	14	
37	9	Raghavendra Rao.		48	7	8	3	10		48				48	3	10	
37	7	Abbake	1	90	7	8	14	4	1	50			1	50	11	4	
37	6	Mundiak		90	7	8	6	12		90				90	6	12	
			3	28					2	88			2	88	21	10	
36	4	Anthakke alias Parameshri.	4	9	7	8	30	11		25			75	2		15	
33	6	Do		63	6		3	13					63		63	3	13
	6	Do	4	25	8	12	37	3		50			75	1	25	10	15
31	1	Venkatramana Dev.	1	59	7	8	11	15					59	1	59	11	15
31	2	Annakke alias Parameshri.		23	7	8	1	12					23		23	1	12
31	3	Thyamu		35	7	8	2	11					35		35	2	11

Statement B.

Statement showing the extent of damage caused by floods to the double crop lands in which the 1st crop has been completely destroyed by floods and second crop cannot be raised.

Village.	Particulars of the fields affected by floods.								Remarks.
	Survey & Sub-division Number.	Name of pattadar.	Extent.	Rate of assessment.	Amount of assessment.	Extent on which this year's wet-crop was destroyed by current year's floods but not covered with silt or sand	Extent on which the wet-crop completely failed owing to the deposit of sand or silt this year.	Total extent damaged.	Assessment on the extent in col. 10	

| | | |

Baulwal Muda	3	1	Tirumal Dev.	2	24	8	12	19	10	1		1	-	8	12				
Sajip Muda	66	15	Beerathumma		23	7	8	1	12		23		23	1	12				
Sajip Nadu	19	2	Subbaya Chowta	5	18	7	8	38	13	3		3	-	22	8				
		3	Krishnaya		78	7	8	5	14		78		78	5	14				
				5	96					3	78	3	78	28	6				
Sajip Padu	2	3A	Ramappaya		29	7	8	2	3		29		29	2	3				
		3B	Krishnayya		69	7	8	5	3		69		69	5	3				
	11	1	Narasimha Bha		77	8	12	6	12		77		77	6	12				
		5			60	8	12	5	4		60		60	5	4				
				2	35					2	35	2	35	19	6				
Mani Nalkur	28	2	Chandayajila	15	64	7	8	117	4	1	50	1	50	11	4				
Baulwal	121	25	K. Krishna Rao	22	63	8	12	198	1	1	50	1	50	13	2				
Badaga Billur	71	2	Kamu Shetty	3	09	6	2	19	5										
				Upsmai															
Perabe	28	2A	Idala Kalappa Gowda	2	40	5													
	28	1C	Kuttianna Kalappa Gowda		45	5													
				2	85														

South Kanara Collector's office
Camp: Kasargod, Dated 4-12-25

8/12/25

4.12.25

Letters
For Collector.

To.

The secretary to the Board of R + Sett
Madras

Enclosure to R.O. 5916-25 A6
4.12.25

O. M. 3949 B/25-1 dt 27-1-26

Floods-1925- South Kanara -
Damage to crops. Proposals for
remission of assessment- Board's
Ref^a No H^o. 3705-25-4 dt 19-12-25

The Board of Revenue is requested
to report on what grounds ~~the Board~~
(& the Collector) ~~based their~~ recommendation for grant of remission
having regard to the favourable rate at
which the lands were assessed
~~in the district~~ and the limited
extent of loss of crop on account of the
floods of 1925. The Board is also
requested to report whether the lands
on which remission is proposed
this year are the same as suffered
in the same way in the previous ~~years~~
~~years~~ 2/ #. The Govt observe that
the Collr seems to have assumed
that Concessions granted for special
reasons in the particular circum-
stances of ~~a~~ years of Considerable
floods may now be considered
'principles' for general future
adoption, but they would be glad to
know the Boards reasons for

Supporting the Colbis proposals.

27/1

To the Board of Rw & R+S.

CRP
25-1-26

26+26

43

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.** C.F. 31

CURRENT No. 993-B

Received 4-MAY 1926 192 *Registered* 4-5- 1926.

ASSEMBLY GOVT. REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Floods- 1925- South Kanara district- Damage to crops- Remission of assessment.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

Refce. No. H 473/26-2

Station Madras *Dated the* 3rd May '26

From W. Scott Brown Esq.,I.C.S.,
Secretary,
The Board of Revenue Land Revenue and Revenue Settlement, etc. ~~Separate Revenue.~~

~~Resolution~~ Reference N.Macmichael Esq.,I.C.S.,
Commr. of Land Revenue and Sett.

pp41-42 C.F.

Reference.- Government memorandum No.3949 B/25-1 Revenue, dated 27-1-26

The Collector and the Board were not unaware of the considerations urged in the Government memorandum, but as the tract in question had suffered in three successive years the damage in the first year being very great and as the lands could not be cultivated on account of deposits of sand, erosion and similar factors, the Board recommended the grant of remission. In making this recommendation the Board followed the principles underlying the orders

in para. 3 of G.O. No.127 Misc.,Revenue, dated 22-1-25.

The Board has now obtained a revised statement from the Collector showing only the lands which suffered in the first severe floods of 1923 and in the two subsequent less severe floods. The extent and assessment of such lands are as shown below:-

	Extent.		Assessment.		
	Acs.	Cents.	Rs.	as.	ps.
Single crop lands.	315	46	1505	8	0
Double crop lands.	33	20	251	14	0
			1757	6	0

The Board considers that remission of assessment may be granted for these lands.

W Scott Bm 3/
Secretary

To the Secretary to Government,Revenue Deptt.

M.D 3-5

27/3

45

C.F. 81

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

CURRENT No. 1040-B

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 12.5. 1926.

Subject.

Floods- 1925- South Kanara district- Damage to crops- Double crop lands on which the first wet crop was lost and a second wet crop was raised but failed-Proposal for remission.

ENCLOSURES.
No.....
.....
Spare copies.....

Refce. No. H 473/26-3

Station Madras *Dated the* 10th May '26 192

From W. Scott Brown Esq.,I.C.S.,
Secretary,

Land Revenue. ~~and~~
~~The~~ Board of Revenue ~~Revenue~~ Settlement, ~~etc.~~
~~Separate Revenue.~~

~~Resolution~~
Reference.

N. Macmichael Esq.,I.C.S
Commr. of Land Revenue and Sett

Reference.- Paragraph 3 of Board's reference No. H 3705-25-4 dated 19-12-25.

pp1-3 C.F.

The Collector of South Kanara reports that there is no necessity for the grant of remission of assessment on double crop wet lands on which the first wet crop was destroyed by the floods and a second wet crop was raised but failed.

W Scott Brown 11/5
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government,Revenue Deptt.

M.D.11-5
M.D. 11-5.

47

[3949 B/25]
Floods (1925) -
Assessment - South Kanara dt - ~~Remission of assessment~~ Damage to crops -
Remission of assessment.
From Bd. of R & S. Rly- H. 3705- 25-4 dy 19-12-25

To Under Secy

In reporting on the extent of damages due to the floods of 1925 in the South Kanara district, the Collr. stated in his letter dated 23-7-25 that the damage to crops would probably be considerable though perhaps not so much as in the previous year last year and that the question of remissions could not be discussed until after the heaviest rain was over in august. The Collr. now recommends that remission of assessment may be granted in respect of (i) Single crop wet lands on which the crops completely failed owing to floods or owing to deposit of sand or silt and (ii) double crop lands on which the first crop was completely destroyed and a second crop could not be raised on account of deposit of sand or silt or owing to erosion etc. He has also called for a report from the tahsildars regarding the double crop lands on which the first crop was destroyed and a second crop was raised but failed and proposes to submit further proposals in respect of these lands also later on. The Board supports the Collr's recommendations proposals.

2 ~~The certain crop lands restored except for cultivation season 1924-25 & 1925-26, & 1926-27~~

...ssion on p 9 of 80 1316 (2) 21-2-25

~~extent of double crop lands damaged was 450.88 acres.~~ A

The extent of single Crop lands damaged by floods was 33.45 acres in 1923 and 2.77 acres in 1924, while in 1925 it was ~~16.1~~ 450.88 acres. The extent of double crop lands damaged was 5.17 acres in 1923 and 22.8% acres in 1924 while in 1925 it was only 42.68 acres. ~~This records, however, include double crop lands on which the first crop was destroyed but on the second crop was realised by the ryots.~~ From these figures it will be seen that as Compr with the damages in 1923 and 1924, the damage in 1925 was not so great as to deserve any special Concession in regard to the grant of remission.

3 The main ground on which the Collr. recommends the grant of remission for 1925 is that there were floods in the district during the ~~same~~ years. It is not however likely that the same fields and the same pattadars were affected by the floods during all the three years.

4 In recommending the grant of remiss account of the floods of 1925 the Collr has stated that the principle laid down in para 3 of G.O. 127 Revenue dated 22-1-25 has been followed. Both in 1923 and 1924 remission was allowed (as a special cas on part fields, provided that the area affected

was not less than one acre in each survey field or recognized sub-division - and where a recognized sub-division totally affected measured less than one acre remission was granted on the entire sub-division. Part field remission is not usually allowed even in districts where the ordinary remission rules apply. The total amount of remission ~~recommended~~ recommended by the Collr with reference to the stat A and B Cowries ~~lists A and B amounts~~ to Rs 24 × 15-4-0 and if part fields are excluded it works out to only Rs 991-3-0. ⊗

5 The ordinary remission rules do not apply to the South Kanara district. In Connection with the floods of 1923 and 1924, re..... of assessment was allowed as a special case. ~~In connection with~~ When the question of granting remission for 1923 floods (was under consideration) Mr Louchman (as Chief Secretary) remarked that every year on the west Coast some crops were lost by flooding and to grant remission for this would be introducing all the abuses of the East Coast System which this settlement aimed at keeping out. ~~In connection with the floods~~ While passing orders on the question of grant of remission on fields damaged by the floods of 1924, ~~of~~ H. M. C$_2$ remarked that the Settlement of South Kanara was made on a "no remission" basis, that loss of crop by floods to a more or less extent was a

normal occurrence, that the 1923 floods were exceptional and might have (1) given some special Concession, but those of 1924, had they occurred without the precedent of 1923 would probably have passed without any special agitation for remission. Again in connection with the Collins report on the recent floods H.E.C. remarked that these floods were nothing special and that it had become a fashion to bawl out about floods and ask remission. In these circumstances it is for orders whether the present proposals for the grant of remission will be accepted ~~to be considered to supersede the proposal by the Board and the Collins. As regards the question of probable floods, the concession of the Famine Department would be necessary.~~

CRP 5.1.26. No case has been made out for remission. The only reason being given as the third successive year of floods. Bd want orders passed in for jamabandi, so file is now put up in

9th 11.1.26

The Crown is that the Bd of Collector have recommended Please ask the Bd on what grounds they base their recommendation having regard to the favorable rate at which the lands were assessed & the limited extent of loss of crop. As regards the reference to the loss in the 2 previous years ask whether the lands on which remission is proposed this year ~~are~~ ~~have actually been affected~~ are the same as suffered in the same way in the previous years. Observe that the Collector seems to have taken it that concession granted for special reasons in the particular circumstances of years of considerable floods may now be considered as precedents for usual future adoption, but that the Govt would be glad to know the Board's reasons for supporting the Collector's proposals

Mg
28/1/26

To Under Secy

A draft O.M. is submitted for approval

CRD
25-1-26.

27/1.

Mg
28/1/26

Issued as O.M. 39490/25-1

C No 9998 B/26 [illegible] Rly H 473/26-2 A
C No 1040 B/26 From Bd of Rds Rly H 473/26-3 A
To Under Secy Govt [illegible]

The Board has submitted its reply to O.M. 9949 B/25-1-A 27-1-26 regarding the grant of remission on the lands affected by floods in 1925 in the S. Kanara district. The Board state that the ground on which it made its original recommendation was that the tract suffered in 3 successive years, the damage in the first year being very great and the lands also being in need of cultivation on account of deposit of sa or erosion etc. There does not seem to be sufficient case for remission unless the same field is affected by the floods during the three successive years in question. For orders whether the Board's present proposal to grant remission only in respect of lands which suffered in the first severe floods of 1923 and in the two subsequent less severe floods may be approved. The total amount involved in the present proposal

but failed. In G.O. 127 mis dy 22.1.25 remission of assessment was granted in respect of lands of this description during the floods of 1924. As the floods of 1925 were not of an exceptional character, it is quite unnecessary to grant any concession in regard to remission in respect of these lands.

para 3 (2) on p/62 of G.O. 127 dy 22.1.25 (IX)

3 If the proposal in para 1 above be approved the case has to go to Finance for acceptance

CRP 12.5.26.

12.5.26

14/5

S.

The floods were not exceptional. The 300 odd acres which have suffered in the floods of 1925 as well as in the floods of the two preceding years are probably lands liable to be flooded at some time or other in any ordinary year. There are any number of such lands in S. Kanara. If the lands with which we are dealing are so badly situated as to be liable to submersion in any ordinary flood, the forbearer ought to relinquish them if he is not prepared to run the regular risk of loss. Personally I would refuse remission

14.5.26

C

Johnson is inclined to accept the Board's latest proposals: and if that be the case I think we should endeavour to make these concessions

hannel. Loss in 3 successive Years is a
bl. Risk. Post I am prepared to accept
Any hon' colle Cy's risk if he defers



will not object, but I think
that it should be expressed in
the Bd that the GP will
not allow Mortgagees of
vessels in J. Hansard & Co
connected with a Custom Ho
to settle that vessels head in
its avoidance &c. if necessary

4 55

that the file may now be sent to Finance for perusal.

Sec 7.6.26. Fin. Sec 8.6.26

Rev No. 3949-B-26 (Please see H-47-48-C.)

8.6.26.

Finance (Accts).

May be perused and returned to Revenue. (4 C.L.'s + C.A.'s notes on pages 7-8 ante).

9 JUN 1926 GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT BOMBAY

9.6.26 11-6-26

11-6-26

160 Accts.

Rev

Fin No. 16827 Accts-I

11-6-26

Rev Dept

UNDER SECY TO GOVT REVENUE DEPT

To A.S.

No further action is necessary The file may now be closed

14.6.26 Sec 14.6.26

47/57

Sectt/II-5 (b)—25,000—25-11-25. (6)

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	3/6	
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Copy & return with draft 27.5.26

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 793B/26 From the Bd of Rev & R&S Rly., No. H 473/26-2, dated 3.5. 1926.

" " 1040B/26 " " - do - " H 473/26-3 " 10.5. 1926.

" " ..... " " ..... " " 192

ORDER.

Mis.

No. 915, dated 3-6 1926.

The Govt sanction the ~~grant~~ remission of assessment ~~of~~ Sd Fasli 1335 amounting to Rs 1757-6-0 as detailed below in respect of the certain wet lands in the South Kanara district which suffered in the ~~famine~~ severe floods of 1923 and in the two subsequent ~~famine~~ floods:-

	Extent Acs cents	Assessment Rs. As Ps
Single Crop lands.	315.46	1505-8-0
Double Crop lands.	33.20	251-14-0
		1757-6-0

DF. 4th JUN 1926

To the Bd of Rev & R&S

Collr. of S. Kanara

Copy to Finance.

Accts Genl thro' Finance.

CRP 27.5.26.

31/5

27.5.26

P.T.O.

Ref-ce:- Floods - S. Kanara - Damage to Crops
Remission of assessment. Board's Ref-ce H 473/-
dy 3. 5. 26.

My dear Scott Brown,

The Govt. have accepted the Boards revised proposals for the grant of remission of assessment on lands affected by three successive floods in the S. Kanara district and orders are issuing accordingly but I am to impress on the Board that the Govt will not allow the granting of remissions in S. Kanara to be converted into a customary ~~right~~ ~~the~~ settlement was ~~made~~ based